

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | COMETEN               |
|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| 10/721,215                       | 11/25/2003  | Bharnidipaty K.D.P. Rao | 00014DIV(3600-267-02)   | CONFIRMATION NO. 5519 |
| Martha Ann Ei                    | **********  | •                       | EXAMINER                |                       |
| Martha Ann Fi<br>Cabot Corporati | on          | •                       | JENKINS, DANIEL J       |                       |
| 157 Concord Ro<br>Billerica, MA  |             | •                       | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER          |
|                                  | 71021-7001  |                         | 1742                    |                       |
|                                  |             | ·                       | DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004 |                       |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |
|--|--|---|
| Office Action Summer   | 10/721,215   | RAO ET AL.  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |
| The MAU INC DATE of the  | Daniel J. Jenkins  | 1742  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap  | pears on the cover sheet w   | ith the correspondence address  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 M.   | 36(a). In no event, however, may a r<br>y within the statutory minimum of thirt<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON<br>, cause the application to become AB<br>g date of this communication, even if t | eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this |
| Za) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This   | action is non-final  |   |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar  | ice except for formal matte  | ers, prosecution as to the ments is   |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.  | 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |   |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  |  |   |
| Application Papers   |  |   |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept accept accept accept accept accept accept accept and the drawing sheet(s) including the correction accept accept accept accept accept accept acceptance accept acceptance       | oted or b) objected to by awing(s) be held in abeyance   | e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  | 77-132.   |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign properties a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have a copies of the priority documents have a copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (have a copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (have a copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (have a copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (have a copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (have a copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (have a copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (have a copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (have a copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (have a copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (have a copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (have a copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (have a copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (have a copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (have a copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (have a copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (have a copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (have a copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (have a copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (have a copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (have a copies of the priority application from the Internation from the Interna | nave been received.<br>have been received in App<br>of documents have been rec   | lication No<br>ceived in this National Stage  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/25/03.   | 4)  Interview Sumr<br>Paper No(s)/Ma<br>5)  Notice of Inforn<br>6) Other:  | nary (PTO-413)<br>ail Date<br>nal Patent Application (PTO-152)                                  |

Application/Control Number: 10/721,215

Art Unit: 1742

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1, 3 and 5-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reichert et al. '779 (Reichert et al.).

Reichert et al. disclose the invention substantially as claimed.

Reichert et al. disclose a method of forming a nitrided valve metal comprising:

providing a tantalum powder (col. 3, lines 15-22);

doping the tantalum powder (col. 3, lines 60-65) at temperatures as low as 650°C (col. 4, lines 28-30) including a degassing step;

deoxidizing the doped tantalum powder (col. 4, lines 16-54); and

Application/Control Number: 10/721,215

Art Unit: 1742

sintering at 1500-1300°C to form a sintered tatalum anode (col. 5, lines 7-14). Reichert et al. further disclose wherein the doping step can be performed with material selected from a group that nitrides the tantalum powder, including nitrogen gas, but provides no specific example of where nitriding precedes deoxidation, thus this is an obvious rejection and not an anticipation.

Reichert et al. further disclose wherein the nitrogen content of the nitrided metal is 100 to 15,000 ppm (col. 3, lines 53-55).

The approximation of the sintering temperature establishing a prima facie case of obviousness, one of ordinary skill in the art selecting a sintering range based on the valve metal including alloy additions of the initial starting materials.

The Examiner finds that the nitriding temperature of claim 9 approximates the range as disclosed by Reichert et al., one of ordinary skill in the art allowing for variations based on the selection of the initial valve metal including alloying additions.

Reichert et al. discloses tantalum powder, but it is known in the art that niobium powder is a valve metal equivalent in the formation of electrodes.

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reichert et al. '779 (Reichert et al.).

Reichert et al. disclose the invention substantially as claimed (see paragraph 3 above). However, Reichert et al. do not teach doping after sintering, but it is common knowledge in the prior art to dope valve metals before or after sintering in the same field of endeavor in order allow for reaction products to escape during the sintering step.

Art Unit: 1742

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to dope after sintering in order to allow reaction products to escape during the sintering step and to permit a more complete doping step.

5. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The Examiner finding that the prior art does not teach or make obvious the nitriding taking place at the claimed temperature, the reference to Reichert et al. not being able to be modified since the doping of the additional agents required in Reichert et al. take place at a higher temperature.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Jenkins whose telephone number is 571-272-1242. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH6:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1242. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel J. Jenkins Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

dj